Present: Apologies:
Allan Gibson Roger Marshallsay Andy Pearce Pauline Grainger

Graham Jukes Roland Cundy David Cornish

#### **AGENDA**

- Follow on from Liz meeting.
- Next activities
- PMG Meeting tonight
- FPC Meeting, 18<sup>th</sup> December.

### **Previous Actions**

- AG draw together responses to engagement questions from working groups and pass to Comms for a coordinated plan of visits with outside groups. Outstanding
- ii. PG send survey report to LA ahead of meeting on 3<sup>rd</sup> December Completed
- iii. AG send list of questions to LA ahead of meeting on 3<sup>rd</sup> December Completed
- iv. **DC** ask WBC who pays for exhibition ahead of referendum at meeting on 19<sup>th</sup> December Outstanding
- v. PG thank LB for survey report on behalf of SG Completed
- vi. GJ provide Housing with greenways map Outstanding

### Follow on from Liz meeting

It was thought that there were too many attendees from the NDP teams. The meeting did get digressed a lot and therefore items did not had enough focus on them.

There was a lot of discussion on number or sites in the housing section, even though Liz had advised us we did not need a number of houses or to identify the site locations. DC had concerns that there had been no minute that indicated as to Why were not putting in a number or identifying sites. DC had read parts of the Locality document and the National Policy Framework (NPF) and felt that they both steered us to have reasons in the NDP as to why we were consciously NOT putting numbers and sites in. **DC** to contact Liz and get her to put the reasons we should use down on an email.

Liz was in strong support of us using the Legal and General 1500 houses as a part of our housing statements.

Liz also stated that we must avoid "frustrating" WBC with our policies and ways forward. They must link into what Wokingham are planning.

It appeared that there was a mail issue that Pauline had and that she has now sent the minutes of 3<sup>rd</sup> December to Liz without her having sight of Davids comments. DC read out his comments.

During all of this GJ made a very valid point that we had not considered how the Parish was going to monitor the document after it has been published and in use. This needs to be considered, who is responsible for it? The planning committee, a new subgroup of the Steering Group or another person/group? (Secretary note, although the way forward on this was not stated I believe the current

steering group needs to discuss this and put forward to the Parish Council a proposition. This could be done in the spring/summer of 2020)

## **Next activities**

This is heavily dependant on what AG can get from the teams as per his Matrix that was presented to the Group a couple of weeks ago.

### **PMG** meeting

A new report from Lyn re the questionnaire.

A discussion on if there is to be a second quesstionnaire, what goes in it and when it is carried out.

# FPC meeting 18<sup>th</sup> Dec

As there was a report at the last meeting on the questionnaire it was not felt that there was any need other than to give a brief update on progress and if the latest report from Lyn has changed anything we sated at the last FPC meeting.

Next meeting 7<sup>th</sup> January 2020

Meeting closed at 1030