

Present:

Allan Gibson
Roland Cundy

Graham Jukes
Andy Pearce

Pauline Grainger

AGENDA

1. Minutes of last meeting
2. Ongoing discussions with the Brown team and how to keep key players engaged with the project.
3. The budget.
4. NDP response to WBC Local plan consultation
5. Engagement Strategy for Q1 opposite key stakeholders.
6. Update on L&G meeting.

Apologies

Apologies received from David Cornish and Roger Marshallsay

1. Minutes

Minutes of meeting 07/01/20 accepted and signed as a true record of meeting. A signed copy to be given to Parish Clerk for filing.

Actions

- i. **AG** draw together responses to engagement questions from working groups and pass to Comms for a coordinated plan of visits with outside groups. Agenda item, CLOSED
 - ii. **DC** ask WBC who pays for exhibition ahead of referendum at meeting on 19th December O/S
 - iii. **GJ** provide Housing with greenways map . GJ provided map of footpaths and bridleways which is what they had been working on but brown spaces were anticipating a map of the "greenways". CLOSED
1. Ask JA if he is updating Project Plan. Asked at PMG meeting and JA has emailed DC. ONGOING
 2. AG seek decision from PMG re printing 100 copies of questionnaire report. Comms team have upgraded cover and requested 150 printed versions to be used as entry point to stakeholders. Agreed, CLOSED
 3. RC talk to S Bromley about helping with traffic issues. SB happy to help but wants parameters to work to and a volunteer member of team to help with narrative etc. CLOSED
 4. DC revisit original notes re volunteers to see if there is an expert within group with traffic knowledge. Not specifically CLOSED
 5. Advise Housing not to meet with Parish Planning committee until after release of WBC "call for sites" . Informed at PMG meeting. WBC local plan update released and appendices will be available shortly and then this can move forward. Agenda item, CLOSED
 6. AG discuss with PMG the crossover between SG and PMG and its effects. AG advised that the PMG wished to remain independent and not "merge" with SG. CLOSED

2. Ongoing discussions with the Brown team and how to keep key players engaged with the project

AG feels there is vulnerability around the brown spaces group as GM is standing down and CM is looking to take on a full time project for the next 3 months. CM will continue but needs additional support and with less time

needs to work to short lists of tasks rather than lengthy reads. AG will try and involve himself at the next brown spaces meeting. CM would like to seek a meeting of AP, PP, TN and himself from brown spaces with RM, RC, GJ, (FP planning) and possibly DC and AG to discuss WBC call for sites and has suggested 24th, 29, 30 and 31 January as possible. AG will try and arrange.

GJ advised there has still not been a meeting of green and brown as whilst green have prepared narrative to anchor their views for discussion brown team has not yet. There was still concern as to why areas in the parish for possible development need to be identified as it was felt the principals for future decisions are more of what is required. GJ has nearly finished his work on footpaths and bridleways and AG advised PP has almost finished the needs assessment. The meeting wondered if PP would write the narrative for the brown group. GJ thought it was now time to follow the headings of the chosen neighbour plans (we are using as a template) and start filling them up.

AG has passed the FP policies to the brown for discussion and as a starting point for their policies. He will attend their next meeting to tie down what is necessary and what is irrelevant. He will also talk to GM to see if he will still help with advice based on his wealth of knowledge.

3. The budget

There is still £4270 Locality budget available before end March. However for accounting purposes Katy Dagnell would like to close the books early so this money is repaid before the end of the month. It was felt this wasn't a problem as we just re-apply on 1st April. There is also £3353 left of the parish budget but the meeting agreed Locality money would be used ahead of the Parish funds and the upcoming printing costs and another session with LA should be accounted for.

4. NDP response to WBC Local plan consultation

AG felt it would not be easy to respond to WBC without a hard copy of the plan. PG was tasked to find out if one was available even if it was at a cost. AG felt the response should be short and focused on a few elements. He will take the discussion to the PMG this evening.

KD, Anna and the Planning committee will prepare a response to the plan on behalf of the parish.

5. Engagement Strategy for Q1 opposite key stakeholders

AG had not received enough detail from the groups in response to his request for information as to how the groups intended to engage with stakeholders for the next stage. He advised at the moment there was no wish for a second questionnaire. He has prepared a list of stakeholders and contact details with columns for evidence of who is contacted, by whom and when. LB is going to update this list moving forward. Each group will be expected to take a share of the contacts and complete the task and pass information back to LB.

6. Update on L&G meeting

Tessa Alcorn, a Social Value Planning Advisor from Social Value Portal and representing L&G met with AG and DC on Thursday 16th January. They went to discuss the planned stakeholder meeting to be held by L&G on 6th February. SVP want to invite 50 local stakeholders to discuss community initiatives and support. They also discussed and agreed a role for the FNDP at the meeting. DC and NW are going along to this L&G meeting on 6th Feb, not to share the platform with them but to have a slot at the end of the meeting to explain the FNDP stressing it is distinct and separate from anything the SVP are doing. However both groups have things in common and could work together.

GJ was concerned as to whether or not we should have anything to do with their initiative but AG felt it was a good idea as there is common ground and SVP is an independent body that are working nationally. AG said he was quite rigorous in his questioning of the value they are bringing to the community. He stated L&G are not

obliged to do this so if there is an opportunity for us to influence this initiative he feels there isn't any reason why we should not work with them. GJ felt if there was a genuine attempt to link in with what we were doing it could work. The biggest concern is the confusion their work and ours might/will cause residents.

7.AOB

AG showed the SG the forms given to PMG last meeting outlining the process policies need to go through to ensure proper planning, conformity, assessment and scrutiny.

Date of next meetings: Tuesday 4th February 9.30am FBC
Tuesday 18th February
Tuesday 3rd, 17th, 31st March

Actions

1. **DC** ask WBC who pays for exhibition ahead of referendum at meeting on 19th December
2. **AG to** confirm Comms can go ahead with printing of report
3. **AG** ask JA state of Project Plan update
4. **AG** talk to PMG re support for Steve Bromley and traffic issues
5. **AG** arrange brown spaces meeting with FP planning members
6. **PG** ask clerk if parish has hard copy of WBC plan
7. **PG** seek copy of plan from WBC if not available through Parish
8. **AG** discuss response to WBC plan update at PMG meeting 21/1/20

Meeting closed 10.30 am

Signed as a true record of the meeting:

Date:.....