**FNDP Steering Group** Minutes of meeting (via video link) 02-03-21, 9.30am

Present: David Cornish (chair) Roland Cundy Graham Jukes

 Andy Pearce Roger Marshallsay

 Allan Gibson Pauline Grainger

Minutes

Minutes of meeting on 16/02/21 accepted as a true record. An electronic copy will be sent to Parish Clerk for the website and the hard copy will be retained for signature at a future date.

Actions

02/02/21

1. RC/RM suggest meeting with LB/JA in due course to look at feedback. OUTSTANDING
2. DC write to parish finance committee re funding for FNDP 2021/22. Alerted. CLOSED
3. DC research Arbar’s Condition Statement. Actioned. CLOSED

 16-02-21

1. DC look out landowner reports for consultation statement. Sent to AG. CLOSED
2. PG/RC find any information held on school engagement. PG sent info to AG and RC canvased schools in parish. Ali Brown (NMR) provided feedback which has been sent to AG and RC will chase Jacki Vanstone (Finch Primary). He is not optimistic of feedback from Gorse or Bohunt. CLOSED
3. DC arrange login access for AG/PG for new parish database system. Organised but still not working for either. ONGOING

AG ask NW to put out facebook message for more feedback. DF did some work on a notification which was put on FNDP page of parish website, circulated via parish Newsletter and was also sent to all who signed up at the roadshows for further updates. NW put info on four facebook sites. KD advised there has been a high level of interest on the parish website, 1189 views with large numbers on site for more than 5 minutes. NW to be asked to place one more notification on facebook for the final week of consultation. CLOSED

FNDP Reg 14 Feedback

AG advised there has been feedback from over 200 persons and the large majority support the plan. However there is a small group of people objecting to some of the Green Space proposals. Further one member of the objectors advised he has used Bell Cornwell for advice so there was a concern this could cause a conflict of interest. AG was asked to contact LA and advise her of this and see if it is likely to cause a problem.

There was a general discussion as to how the objections should be dealt with and AP pointed out this was outside the remit of the steering group and it was up to the feedback review team and Project Management Group to consider how best to deal with the feedback.

GJ suggested we used the “objections” to challenge ourselves and test/ check that the plan is robust and has the necessary evidence /criteria/definitions to stand up to challenges where the majority of feedback supports the proposals. DC felt this was important as the aim of the FNDP is to add another layer of protection alongside the WBC plan and the focus moving forward should be to tighten the green gap work where necessary.

AG pointed out we have been led to where we are in the project by design and what we are experiencing is line with expectation. The important points for us to ensure are:

* the plan complies with the basic conditions
* the policies are well-crafted and evidenced
* the plan permits sustainable development
* we reflect the views of local people

Consultation Statement

AG advised he has updated the consultation statement with the feedback he has received over the course of the last two weeks and the only large piece of work missing now is the report on the feedback from the Reg 14 consultation.

Basic Condition Statement

DC has looked at the Arbar Condition Statement and confirmed it is a tick box exercise. He has been able to adapt the Arbar document to meet our needs and is now at the point he has to cross reference our policies into it. He is confident there is no more than a couple of days work involved.

There was a question about census data which Arbar used significantly in their documents but it was felt this was out of date and as the statistics from the upcoming census would not be available for a couple of years it wasn’t something which needed further investigation.

DC asked if RM could check our policies against the National Planning Policy Framework but AG said this had already been done when we did the policy assessments last summer. PG was asked to look out all the work done on the policy framework as this would provide the supporting evidence required.

Budget

DC brought to the meeting’s attention that it appeared he has underestimated what has already been spent. RM had looked into the matter and was uncertain about some of the figures. AG was surprised at comment as he had believed all the way through the process we were underspending.

It was agreed to arrange a meeting between AP, KD and DC to look at the full financial statement and clarify.

AOB

AG advised he has invited James McCabe (WBC) to the 16th March PMG meeting and it was agreed on that date to hold a combined SG/PMG meeting for the sole purpose of discussion with JM about the Reg 14 consult and feedback which has arisen.

The next SG meeting, 30th March, would be to review green gaps, and the consultation and condition statements.

Meeting closed 10.29 am **Next meeting, Tuesday 30th March 2021, 9.30am**

Signed as a true record of the meeting: ………………………………………… Date:…………………………

Actions

1. RC/RM suggest meeting with LB/JA to look at feedback
2. RC chase feedback from Finch Primary re questions asked
3. DC arrange login access for AG/PG for new parish database system
4. AG ask NW to put one more appeal for feedback on facebook for last week of consult
5. AG contact LA re conflict of interest
6. PG send DC policy frameworks
7. DC arrange finance meeting with KD/AP